

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Northwood High	District Name	Irvine Unified
Street	4515 Portola Pkwy.	Phone Number	949-936-5000
City, State, Zip	Irvine , CA 92620	Web Site	www.iusd.org
Phone Number	949-936-7200	Superintendent	Gwen Gross
Principal	Leslie Roach	E-mail Address	ggross@iusd.org
E-mail Address	lroach@iusd.org	CDS Code	30- 73650- 3030657

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

Northwood High School, a fully accredited secondary education institution, rests in the hills adjacent to the Northwood community of North Irvine. The vision for NHS embraces a collaborative model in which teachers dissolve the boundaries that traditionally separate classrooms and disciplines and work together to write and refine curriculum. Our school currently serves 2117 students ranging from grades nine through twelve. 5% of our students are identified as English Language Learners. Students are enrolled in advanced placement, honors level, college preparatory and non-college preparatory course work. We also provide four different special education programs for our 180 identified

special education students. These programs include a full inclusion model that assists special education students within regular education classrooms, special day classes designed for students with disabilities requiring support beyond the regular education classroom, a separate program for developmentally disabled students, and a speech and language program. The physical structure of our school supports a spirit of collaboration. Every four classrooms are joined together by an adjacent student workroom. Our student workrooms or “pods” allow teachers and students from different disciplines to open the doors of their classrooms and interact. We also built the following features into our program to personalize the nature of student to teacher and teacher to teacher interactions.

Advisement—We believe students receive greater individual attention and security within smaller learning communities; therefore, our teacher advisement program ensures that each Northwood High School student remains with one advisor, and one group of students, for the duration of his or her four years at Northwood High School. Students meet in their advisement four days a week, and during this time frame, students receive important information regarding campus events, discuss school-wide issues, and schedule their biannual advisor/parent conferences. These conferences provide an opportunity for students to work individually with their advisors and their parents to establish their academic and personal goals and to plan their course work.

Tutorial— In order to address individual student needs further, we structured into our bell schedule forty minutes of tutorial time twice a week for students and teachers to meet outside the context of large group instruction. Tutorial provides many opportunities for students to work individually with their teachers, to meet with other students to work on group projects, as well as to engage in many other learning opportunities.

Humanities Core Program—Our Humanities Core Program also facilitates collaboration by pairing 9th and 10th grade English and History teachers together. These teachers share the same students and meet to discuss both curriculum and student achievement. Although humanities teachers teach in separate classrooms, they work together to develop and score interdisciplinary projects that foreground the connections between their disciplines.

Long-block schedule—Our ninety minute, alternating block schedule allows teachers the opportunity to structure lessons that move students more deeply into an activity so that they construct meaning without interruption. Students attend a maximum of four classes daily and the sustained time within each class allows students more time to internalize essential concepts and to balance their workload over the course of two nights.

Our faculty and staff embrace the elements of Northwood High School that distinguish our school from others. However, we also collectively endorse the overarching mission statement and vision for the Irvine Unified School District: To enable all students to become contributing members of society empowered with the skills, knowledge and values necessary to meet the challenges of a changing world and to accomplish these things by providing the highest quality educational experiences we can envision. To foster the IUSD Vision Statement and Mission Goals, Northwood has adopted the following Northwood High School Guiding Principles:

Pursuing growth is our school wide responsibility.

We believe all students can learn.

We believe students learn differently.

We believe learning is an active and ongoing process.

We believe collaboration enhances learning.

We believe through reflection and support, expectations can be met and exceeded.

We believe in fostering an environment that encourages the balance of academic and extracurricular activities to achieve social, emotional and physical growth.

We believe we all need to feel emotionally, physically and intellectually safe.

We believe embracing diversity fosters understanding and strengthens our community.

We believe making a connection to school is essential.

We believe in compassion, mutual respect and trustworthiness.

Therefore, in our conversations, our thinking, our teaching and in our decision making, we do what is best for the growth and learning of all students.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

- Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA) – Our PTSA consists of a total of 870 members. The NHS PTSA includes representatives from the Athletic Boosters, Instrumental Boosters, and Coral Music Boosters. However, all parents should consider PTSA as a forum to voice concerns, discuss different school issues, and to coordinate events and fundraising activities.
- School Site Council
- Parent Advisory Committees including ELAC
- Parent Booster clubs for athletics, instrumental and choral music and other extracurricular activities.
- Volunteering: Registration, Student Store, Grad Night, Media Center Support
- Use of the Northwood High School Parent Portal enables parents to access individual student information including progress reports, report cards, transcripts and daily period attendance
- Parents and community members also have access to the Web Page, school bulletin via e-mail, and the IUSD Academic Bulletin which advertise site and district activities.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	505
Grade 10	533
Grade 11	540
Grade 12	539
Total Enrollment	2117

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	1.04 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.52 %
Asian	44.64 %
Filipino	2.46 %
Hispanic or Latino	5.05 %
Pacific Islander	0.19 %
White (not Hispanic)	43.03 %
Multiple or No Response	3.07 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3.00 %
English Learners	5.00 %
Students with Disabilities	7.00 %

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays by subject area the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2006-07			2007-08			2008-09					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	24.2	40	51	5	24.7	42	47	4	25.0	35	50	4
Mathematics	29.3	7	45	19	28.1	9	51	12	27.7	12	43	14
Science	30.7	2	41	22	28.5	9	49	11	28.7	8	47	10
Social Science	26.2	34	31	19	25.8	34	36	13	26.2	28	43	8

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Northwood High School developed our comprehensive school safety plan to ensure a safe school environment for our students. Key elements of the plan include 1. Assessing the current status of school crime committed on the school campus and at school-related functions. 2a. Child Abuse Reporting Procedures, 2b. Disaster Procedures-Routine and Emergency, 2c. Policies for Suspension, Expulsion, and Mandatory Expulsion, 2d. Procedures for Notification of Staff Regarding Dangerous Students, 2e. Procedures on Sexual Harassment, 2f. Policy Relating to School Dress Code (especially gang-related apparel), 2g. Procedures for Safe Ingress and Egress of Pupils, Parents, and School Employees To and From School, 2h. Procedures that Create a Safe and Orderly Environment Conducive to Learning at School, 2i. Rules and Procedures on School Discipline.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	1.5	3.2	2.8	3.1	3.6	2.8
Expulsions	0.1	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.2

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Our school has 71 rooms that can be utilized for instruction, and every room is wired to the Internet with at least 4 connections. Each of the 14 adjacent student workrooms is also wired with 24 connections. Northwood High School

also has a 620-seat theatre with a professional stage, black box, and state of the art television production studio. Our school also boasts an 1800 seat, three court gymnasium, a fully equipped weight room, wrestling room, and dance room. There are three fully functioning computer labs, 13 student workrooms with from 5 to 20 computers in each, and a remote lap top cart with 35 computers on campus and a state of the art digital language lab available for instruction in our World Languages courses. Northwood High School has maintained the cleanliness and beauty of a new school.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility’s good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X				
Electrical: Electrical	X				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
Overall Rating	As of the most recently completed school site inspection, the facility condition is considered to be exemplary.				N/A

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	95	95	90	1216
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	99.6	0.4
High-Poverty Schools in District	---	---
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.6	0.4

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	4.4	529
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker	---	N/A
Nurse	.4	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.6	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	---	N/A
Other	---	---

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0
Foreign Language	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook and/or instructional material.	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,136	\$284	\$4,853	\$62,832
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,863	\$72,719
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-0.22%	-15.74%

State	N/A	N/A	\$5,512	\$67,049
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-13.59%	-6.71%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

For 2008-2009, Northwood High School received special funds in the amount of \$ 82,095.00. The majority of these funds were spent on technology and personnel, including – classroom teachers, and instructional assistants, and to serve with unique educational needs in the following programs:

Special Programs	Amount
EIA/LEP/Instructional	\$ 25,033.00
Gifted and Talented	\$ 1,750.00
School Improvement Plan	\$ 55,312.00

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,814	\$42,065
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,891	\$67,109
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,223	\$86,293
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$112,370	\$107,115
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$116,909	\$112,279
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$131,659	\$122,532
Superintendent Salary	\$232,875	\$216,356
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	43.10 %	39.40 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.00 %	5.50 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are

reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	74	76	79	76	78	80	43	46	50
Mathematics	58	68	68	74	76	78	40	43	46
Science	79	77	84	75	80	83	38	46	50
History-Social Science	49	65	72	60	67	71	33	36	41

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	53	47	*	55
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	85	76	89	80
Filipino	68	72	65	59
Hispanic or Latino	51	45	65	46
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	77	62	85	69
Male	74	68	86	76
Female	83	68	82	69
Economically Disadvantaged	55	54	50	44
English Learners	38	53	53	25
Students with Disabilities	39	43	27	34
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Detailed information regarding CAHSEE results can be found at the CDE [California High School Exit Examination \(CAHSEE\)](#) Web site. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level in ELA and mathematics.

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	84.4	88.2	89.5	80.1	84.8	84.3	48.6	52.9	52.0
Mathematics	89.5	92.0	94.4	87.0	88.1	88.9	49.9	51.3	53.3

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results by Performance Level for Student Groups – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at each performance level in English language-arts and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

Group	English			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students	10.5	22.5	67.0	5.6	24.2	70.2
Male	10.0	24.3	65.7	5.2	18.7	76.2
Female	11.1	20.6	68.3	6.0	29.8	64.3
African American	*	*	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	6.4	21.3	72.3	1.7	15.7	82.6
Filipino	23.8	23.8	52.4	9.5	38.1	52.4
Hispanic or Latino	25.0	37.5	37.5	16.7	50.0	33.3
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	11.3	21.2	67.5	6.9	28.4	64.7
English Learners	35.1	45.9	18.9	10.8	35.1	54.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	35.0	45.0	20.0	30.0	30.0	40.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	65.6	18.8	15.6	50.0	31.2	18.8

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	7.2	23.5	60.0

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	10	10	10
Similar Schools	8	7	8

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"B" means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

"C" means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

" * " means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	-5	16	12	886
African American	---	---	---	---
American Indian or Alaska Native	---	---	---	---
Asian	-7	19	8	922
Filipino	---	---	---	---
Hispanic or Latino	---	---	---	---
Pacific Islander	---	---	---	---
White (not Hispanic)	-8	15	17	866
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	---	---	---	---
English Learners	---	---	---	---
Students with Disabilities	---	---	53	714

"NA" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"*" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the [CDE Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

"Yes" Met 2009 AYP Criteria

"No" Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\)](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	---	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	---	---
Year in Program Improvement	---	---
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	---
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	---

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the [General Admissions Information](#) Web page (Outside Source).

California State University

Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the [Undergraduate Admission & Requirements](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Dropout Rate (1-year)	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.9	0.7	3.5	4.4	3.9
Graduation Rate	99.6	98.8	99.5	97.0	96.8	97.2	83.4	80.6	80.2

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Students in California public schools must pass both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2008-09 school year in grade twelve this table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion.

Group	Graduating Class of 2009		
	School	District	State
All Students	97%	97%	N/A
African American	100%	98%	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	100%	100%	N/A
Asian	99%	99%	N/A
Filipino	100%	100%	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	100%	94%	N/A
Pacific Islander	100%	100%	N/A
White (not Hispanic)	97%	96%	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	N/A
English Learners	83%	87%	N/A
Students with Disabilities	LEA Provided	LEA Provided	N/A

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the degree to which pupils are prepared to enter the workforce, including a list of career technical education (CTE) programs offered at the school.

Students at each of our five high schools have opportunities to participate in CTE coursework where students explore careers in graphic arts, automotive repair and maintenance, business, music technology and theater technology. Our students also participate in career exploration coursework provided through the local Regional Occupation Center Program. In response to the 2006 Carl D Perkins Career and Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 we have developed two programs of study providing introductory through capstone coursework and articulating with the local community colleges are. Both industry sectors are projected to grow over the 2008-2018 time period. i[]

Visual Technology Program: Arts and Entertainment industry sector:15% growth projection (2008-2018)

Automotive Technology Program: Transportation industry sector : 5% growth projection (2008-2018)

The program of study in Visual Technology is offered at each of the five high schools. Three of the high schools have an Auto Shop on the premises that is accessible to all students in the district. We have articulation agreements with the local community college establishing a pathway for our students to continue their CTE career in an Associated Arts degree or certificate program. Because most of our students and their parents are interested in continuing their CTE career preparation at a four year college or university, we have applied for and received college preparatory status for many of our CTE courses, meeting the UC a-g requirements for admission.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about participation in the school's CTE programs.

Northwood High School: 28 seniors participated. 100% graduated

NORTHWOOD HIGH SCHOOL : Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of the school's pupils participating in CTE	82
Percent of the school's pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	100
Percent of school's CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	20

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, for the most recent year, two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission. Detailed information about student enrollment in, and completion, of courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	80.9
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	72.4

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays for the most recent year the number of Advanced Placement (AP) courses that the school offered by subject and the percent of the school's students enrolled in all AP courses. Detailed information about student enrollment in AP courses can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	1	N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	2	N/A
Foreign Language	1	N/A
Mathematics	1	N/A
Science	4	N/A
Social Science	3	N/A
All courses	13	9.9

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Since the inception of NHS, we have examined the needs of both our student and staff population in conjunction with the overarching goals of the district to determine the appropriate focus for staff development. Staff Development time has been focused in the last few years on standards alignment, vertical alignment, developing formative assessments, developing a vision unique to NHS, common agreements and in-servicing on our Teacher Advisement program and conferences, and support for all students.

Number of school days dedicated to staff development

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Full Days	1	1	2
2 hour before-school sessions	6	6	3

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92